

Comprehensive Transportation Plan Work Group (CTPWG)

Nov 5, 2020 – 12:30 to 2 PM

Please join my meeting from your computer, tablet or smartphone.

<https://global.gotomeeting.com/join/959853317>

You can also dial in using your phone.

United States: [+1 \(646\) 749-3122](tel:+16467493122)

Access Code: 959-853-317

AGENDA – Meeting #13

- | | |
|---|---------------|
| 1. Welcome and attendance [5 Min] | Dana |
| 2. Minor vs Major Alignment follow-up discussion [15 min]
<i>See page 3 below</i> | All |
| 3. PIP edits status [5 min] | Agustin, Judy |
| 4. Whitepaper recommendations [60 Min]
Review groups and tasks
Scope of work and objectives | All |
| 5. Next steps, action items [5 Min] <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Dec 3, 2020 | Agustin |

CTP Work Group Members

1. Andrew Grzymiski (CDOT)
2. Brian Nadolny (CATS)
3. Dana Stoogenke (Matthews) - Chair
4. Erika Martin (Mooresville)
5. Julio Paredes (GCLMPO)
6. Lisa Thompson (Weddington)
7. Richard Hoffman (Iredell Co.)
8. Stuart Basham (NCDOT Div 10)
9. Todd Huntsinger (Indian trail)
10. Tracy Newsome (CDOT)

Agenda Item #3

Definition - Minor Change to Alignment:

1. If the recommended alignment stays on the same parcel(s) as the adopted alignment and the recommended alignment does not move closer than **400** feet to an adjacent parcel unless the adjacent parcel(s)'s owner(s) demonstrate agreement to the recommended alignment. **OR**
2. If the recommended alignment is being proposed as part of a development proposal **and** will be constructed as part of the development proposal **and** the development proposal has been approved by the jurisdiction. If there are parcels affected by the recommended alignment that are outside the development proposal, those parcel owners must be notified and demonstrate agreement to the recommended alignment. **OR**
3. If the recommended alignment is being proposed as part of a development proposal **and** public outreach related to the development proposal has included references to the CTP amendment **and** all parcel owners affected by the recommended alignment that are outside the development proposal must be notified and demonstrate agreement to the recommended alignment.

Agenda Item #4

Group #1	Group #2	Group #3
Jerrel	Judy	Agustin
Bob	Dana	Lisa
Erika	Andy	Richard
Brian	Todd	Tracy
Stuart	Julio	

Cost and regulation related:

1. Encourage member jurisdictions to consider land development tools allowed by the NCGS. Such tools contribute to incentives for development, which attracts business and boosts the economy.
2. Investigate the feasibility of a revolving reimbursement fund for developers who build transportation improvements. This fund could encourage continued development, which sparks economic growth and development. Identify various funding models to diminish the burden of development cost and to support growth.
3. Develop model ordinance language for protection / preservation of future transportation corridors and/or facility expansion. Model ordinance language could address land development processes, language of preservation (dedicate, reservation, acquisition), and other considerations.

Education related:

4. Develop educational materials for member jurisdictions to make the CTP and right-of- way preservation understandable to various audiences including residents, real estate agents, landowners and developers, and local government elected officials.
5. Develop template “future corridor” signs for member jurisdictions to inform residents and others about future road improvements. In creating standardized signage for future road improvements, long-range transportation planning becomes normalized

Time Related:

6. Create a regional process for accepting and maintaining dedicated and reserved transportation corridors and rights-of-way.
7. Create a regional database of existing parcels or portions of larger developments preserved as future transportation corridors and rights-of-way. Ideally, this platform would be accessible for every county and municipality in the planning area, with coordination at the NCDOT level down to local planning departments.