

## Project Oversight Committee 12/12/2019 Meeting Summary

**Members:** Loretta Barren (phone), Stuart Basham, Gwen Cook, Bill Coxe, Steve Frey, Bjorn Hansen, Wayne Herron, Ashley Landis, David McDonald, Anil Panicker, Dana Stoogenke, Bill Thunberg, Andrew Ventresca, Cami Weckerly

**Staff:** Curtis Bridges, Neil Burke, Bob Cook, Judy Dellert-O'Keef, Catherine Mahoney, Agustin Rodriguez, Theo Thomson, David Wasserman (NCDOT)

**Other:** David Wasserman (NCDOT)

Agenda Item	Purpose	Summary/ Decisions	Person Responsible	Follow-Up / Result
<b>1. Welcome &amp; Introductions</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Wayne Herron asked POC members to introduce themselves.</li> <li>• Wayne encouraged POC members to work with the TCC to nominate new POC committee members for 2020.</li> </ul>	Wayne Herron	
<b>2. Local Matches</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Finalize local matchers for project submittals:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Staff has reviewed the cost estimates and local matches for each project submittal;</li> <li>○ Recommended modifications have been given to project managers to ensure that the local match is calculated</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agustin reviewed the numbers on local cost estimates. He reached out to Project Managers with questions on the percentages for matches and those have been checked now. Overall, money requested went down after this review.</li> <li>• Bill noted that some projects from the Spring match may have had prior funding at a better than 80/20 split; since all the spring projects have an 80/20 match, that may cause a glitch. David W. suggested deciding on the projects first, then talking about the money.</li> </ul>	Agustin Rodriguez	

Agenda Item	Purpose	Summary/ Decisions	Person Responsible	Follow-Up / Result
	<p>in a uniform manner.</p>			
<p><b>3. Finalize recommended non-roadway project list</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Purpose: Develop a final recommended non-roadway project list of bicycle/pedestrian and transit projects</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Planning</u>: The consensus was to recommend funding all Planning projects requested.</li> <li>• <u>Transit</u>: The consensus was that the I-77 and Hambright Park and Ride was the superior transit project. The I-77/Jane Sowers Road planning projects puts the overall total over a little, but still within 1% of total discretionary funds available. David W. was comfortable with the total.</li> <li>• <u>Bicycle and Pedestrian</u>: After discussion about favoring existing projects over new projects, the group decided to recommend funding all existing projects and the three highest-scoring new projects.</li> </ul>	<p>Neil Burke</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Neil will update the spreadsheet to reflect recommendations.</li> </ul>
<p><b>4. Finalize recommended roadway project list</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Purpose: Finalize recommended roadway project list for presentation to TCC and CRTPO Board for information in January.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• No serious issues were raised on funding existing roadway projects. Consensus was to recommend funding all the existing roadway projects.</li> <li>• There was discussion on whether to stick to the percentage target for bike/ped and transit projects for this call. The group favored removing Huntersville’s NC 115 widening project, which allows sufficient funding to support the non-highway projects and stick to the target percentage. This allows funding for the next three new highway projects that score over 168. If Huntersville is willing to increase their local match, Wayne said he will mention that the Board can choose to fund the NC 115 widening project instead of the next 4 highway projects.</li> <li>• David W. noted that if a jurisdiction does an 80/20 or 70/30 share for a project, it must stay the same</li> </ul>	<p>Neil Burke</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Neil will update the spreadsheet to reflect recommendations.</li> </ul>

Agenda Item	Purpose	Summary/ Decisions	Person Responsible	Follow-Up / Result
		percentage throughout the project, through PE, ROW, construction, etc. NCDOT prefers percentages in multiples of 5. Jurisdictions can change the percentage if NCDOT and the feds haven't authorized a project but would prefer in the future if jurisdictions keep the same percentage throughout all project phases, through the life of the project.		
<b>3. Upcoming Issues</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>December 19: Second meeting to finalize POC recommendation on discretionary projects (if necessary)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The December 19 meeting is cancelled as the group achieved consensus on project prioritization and recommendations.</li> </ul>		