

## Project Oversight Committee 2/20/2020 Meeting Summary

**Members:** Stuart Basham, Brett Canipe, Gwen Cook, Bjorn Hansen (phone), Ashley Landis, Anil Panicker, Kevin Parker (phone), Dana Stoogenke (phone), Andrew Ventresca (phone)

**Staff:** Neil Burke, Bob Cook, Judy Dellert-O’Keef, Catherine Mahoney, Agustin Rodriguez, Jennifer Stafford, Theo Thomson

**Other:** Scott Miller (NCDOT), David Wasserman (NCDOT)

Agenda Item	Purpose	Summary/ Decisions	Person Responsible	Follow-Up / Result
<b>1. Welcome &amp; Introductions</b>		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Neil asked POC members to introduce themselves and reviewed meeting topics.</li> </ul>	Bjorn Hansen	
<b>2. Future Discretionary Funding Availability</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Purpose: Determine the amount of STBG-DA and TAP-DA funds available for the April 2020 call for existing discretionary projects; and the fall call for existing and new projects beginning in August.</li> <li>Background:               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The NCDOT-STIP unit is working to program the approved STBG-DA and TAP-DA funds to projects approved from the fall 2019</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>David continued the discussion on DA funding and how to handle discretionary funding calls. The 2019 calls allocated DA funding through FY24, including the discretionary funding projects approved at the February 19, 2020 CRTPO Board meeting. Projects will be shifted as some fail to progress as planned; probably not looking at much available funding until FY25 and beyond. Allocating new money will probably happen in FY25 and beyond. First available TAP funds are in 2022.</li> <li>Having the leeway of scheduling funding five years out is probably a good thing for roadway projects, which typically take 5 years to develop.</li> <li>There was discussion of reviewing the application with staff modifications to see if that’s the criteria to use for the Spring Call and start a discussion of how funding targets were determined.</li> </ul>	David Wasserman	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Jennifer to bring last year’s call information to the March 12 POC meeting.</li> <li>Jennifer to pursue a project status update, including anticipated shortfalls, which may help give an idea of what might come in on the shortfall call.</li> <li>Jennifer to send draft application to POC members</li> </ul>

Agenda Item	Purpose	Summary/ Decisions	Person Responsible	Follow-Up / Result
	<p>call. This will inform the amount of future year discretionary funding that is available for the project calls in 2020.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ A discussion of the amount of discretionary funding available for the spring and fall calls will be conducted.</li> </ul>			
<p><b>3. I-485 Express Lane Project Bonus Allocation</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Purpose: Continue discussion of the I-485 Express Lane project Bonus Allocation funds.</li> <li>● Background: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ During the February 6 meeting, the POC agreed to make the I-485 BA funds available during the fall 2020 call.</li> <li>○ Projects that are allocated BA funding from I-485 must be able to demonstrate that all funds can be authorized prior to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Neil reminded POC members the I-485 Express Lane Project Bonus Allocation funds must be authorized by 6/30/24.</li> <li>● There was discussion of how to evaluate proposals geographically. The group looked at the criteria used for I-77 BA funds; Neil noted that the current Discretionary Funding policy has more robust scoring for projects' System Benefit than the I-77 scoring process did.</li> <li>● There was discussion about location criteria to be used. The southern side of the I-485 project boundary is hemmed in by state and county lines. The group decided they would like to see what the boundaries would be if the geographic buffer were 1, 2 or 3 miles from the I-485 Express Lane Project.</li> <li>● The group also discussed whether to set a minimum project amount, to ensure that projects would be large enough to be authorized by 6/30/24.</li> </ul>	<p>Neil Burke</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Neil to have a map for March 12 meeting showing possible project buffer limits of 1, 2 and 3 miles</li> </ul>

Agenda Item	Purpose	Summary/ Decisions	Person Responsible	Follow-Up / Result
	<p>the June 30, 2024 deadline.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Identify criteria to determine if a project within the I-485 express lane corridor (I-5507) would improve the function of these projects in terms of proximity and/or operations.</li> </ul>			
<p><b>4. Upcoming Issues</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Next POC Meeting: Thursday, March 12 at 2:00 p.m.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Think about location criteria and buffer limits for the I-485 Express Lane Project BA funding call.</li> <li>● Thing about criteria for BA funds for the Fall call.</li> <li>● The second POC meeting in April coincides with 2020 NCAMPO.</li> <li>● Determine a cap for the shortfall call; the total for the year is \$18 million – should that be the cap?</li> </ul>	<p>Jennifer Stafford</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Jennifer to send a Doodle poll out to determine an alternative date and time for the second POC meeting in April.</li> </ul>